CS144
Intro to Computer Networks Final Exam - Tuesday, March 19, 2019

## 2 NOTE PAGES, CLOSED BOOK, COMPUTERS OFF

## Your Name:

$\qquad$ SUNet ID:
@stanford.edu

In accordance with both the letter and the spirit of the Stanford Honor Code, I neither received nor provided any assistance on this exam.

Signature:

- The exam has 12 questions totaling 99 points.
- You have 120 minutes to complete them.
- Some questions may be much harder than others.
- You must circle at least one option in order to receive credit for a multiple-choice question.
- No calculators allowed.
- You may express numerical answers as expressions if you don't do the arithmetic, but please explain each term when you do.
- Please box your final answers.

| $\mathbf{1}$ | $/ 3$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $/ 3$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $/ 3$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $/ 3$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $/ 2$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $/ 7$ |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | $/ 15$ |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | $/ 10$ |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | $/ 10$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $/ 10$ |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $/ 18$ |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $/ 15$ |
| Total | $/ 99$ |

## I Industrial Congestion Control

## 1. [3 points]:

Nandita Dukkipati from Google discussed projects like TIMELY: RTT-based Congestion Control for Datacenter, BBR: Congestion-based Congestion Control, and RCP: Rate Control Protocol. What were some of the motivations for developing these projects? What were some of the reasons that a traditional loss-based congestion control algorithm (e.g., TCP Reno) were problematic? (Select all that apply.)

A Loss-based algorithms cause increased latency by filling network buffers and fails to utilize bandwidth in the presence of moderate loss.

B Loss is a single bit of information - a packet was lost or it wasn't. In order to get a multibit signal that reflects queueing in the path, we can measure RTTs.
C Because losses are extremely rare in the internet, loss is not a good signal of congestion.
D None of the above.

## II Netflix at Scale

2. [3 points]:

In Ken Florance's lecture, he highlighted how Netflix streaming works, and that traffic on the internet has changed drastically since 1995. Based on his lecture, which of the following statements are true? (Select all that apply.)

A Today, the vast majority of data flows towards users, rather than bidirectionally like it was in 1995.

B Netflix leverages a single highly-optimized datacenter than serves all of their users.
C Netflix uses proactive caching to load balance and improve performance of their content distribution network.

D None of the above.

## III Running a Cloud Network

## 3. [3 points]:

Jeff Mogul from Google gave a lecture where he highlighted considerations of a "cloud network", including network virtualisation and scalability. Based on his lecture, which of the following statements are true? (Select all that apply.)

A Customers like using cloud providers because it is often cheaper than managing their own systems - allowing them to grow or shrink their resources flexibly.
B Cloud providers use virtual networks and functional isolation to isolate customers from each other and give flexibility in distributing resources.

C Datacenter networks achieve high reliability from cheap, low-reliability components (e.g, using Clos topologies).
D None of the above.

## IV Nick's Midlife Crisis

4. [3 points]:

Which of the following stakeholders stand to benefit from the paradigm of softwaredefined networking? (Select all that apply.)

A Companies like Facebook with large datacenters
B Router manufacturers
C Networking researchers
D None of the above

## 5. [2 points]:

True or false: The control plane in a software-defined network must operate from one central entity (as opposed to being distributed across routers).

A True
B False

## V Integrity

## 6. [7 points]:

In this class, we've discussed several mechanisms that try to preserve the integrity of messages sent across a network and detect if a message has been modified. For each of the following "integrity check" mechanisms, here are some possible use cases that we might want to use the check for:
(A) Detecting if a message was modified by the random bitflips of a noisy link.
(B) Detecting and repairing bitflips in a message.
(C) Detecting messages that have been modified nefariously, when the receiver knows the value of the integrity check (e.g. the checksum) for sure.
(D) Detecting messages that have been modified nefariously (when the integrity check is transmitted as part of the message).
(E) Sending messages to the public, and letting any receiver make sure they have a correct, unmodified copy.
(F) Keeping messages secret from eavesdroppers.

For each of the following "integrity check" mechanisms, please circle all use cases that the mechanism can reasonably and securely support.

Checksums
Cyclic redundancy checks (CRCs)
Hash functions (e.g. Java hashCode())
Secure hash functions (e.g. SHA-256)
Secure hash of $\{$ a secret key + the message $\}$
Message Authentication Codes (MACs)
Public-key signatures
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { A } & \mathrm{B} & \mathrm{C} & \mathrm{D} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{F}\end{array}$
A $\quad \mathrm{B} \quad \mathrm{C} \quad \mathrm{D} \quad \mathrm{E} \quad \mathrm{F}$
A $\quad \mathrm{B} \quad \mathrm{C} \quad \mathrm{D} \quad \mathrm{E} \quad \mathrm{F}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { A } & \mathrm{B} & \mathrm{C} & \mathrm{D} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{F}\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { A } & \mathrm{B} & \mathrm{C} & \mathrm{D} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{F}\end{array}$
A $\quad \mathrm{B} \quad \mathrm{C} \quad \mathrm{D} \quad \mathrm{E} \quad \mathrm{F}$
A $\quad \mathrm{B} \quad \mathrm{C} \quad \mathrm{D} \quad \mathrm{E} \quad \mathrm{F}$

## VI Bellman-Ford Routing (TODO better name?)

## 7. [15 points]:

The figure below shows a network of five routers, some of which are connected by links. Each link has a cost. For example, the link from R1 to R2 has a cost of 3.

a. Use the Bellman-Ford algorithm to complete the table below. A row in the table corresponds to R5's distance vector at an iteration of the algorithm. Each entry in the table (element of a distance vector) consists of a router's lowest known cost to R5 as well as the next hop in the lowest-cost path, if applicable. The first two rows are completed for you. Stop filling in the table when further iterations do not change the distance vector. You may not need all rows. Ties are broken randomly.

| Iteration | $\mathbf{R 1}$ | $\mathbf{R 2}$ | $\mathbf{R 3}$ | $\mathbf{R 4}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ |
| 1 | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $1, \mathrm{R} 5$ | $9, \mathrm{R} 5$ |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |

b. Now assume that the link between R3 and R5 fails, as in the figure below.


Using your final distance vector from part (a) as the $0^{\text {th }}$ iteration, how many iterations does it take for the Bellman-Ford algorithm to converge on a new distance vector (not including the $0^{\text {th }}$ iteration)? Assume that no optimizations are used to improve the algorithm. We will only grade your final answer, but showing work in the table below will make you eligible for partial credit.

| Iteration | $\mathbf{R 1}$ | $\mathbf{R 2}$ | $\mathbf{R 3}$ | $\mathbf{R 4}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |

Number of Iterations: $\qquad$
c. In some cases, removing a link from a topology prevents the Bellman-Ford algorithm from terminating. One technique to prevent infinite loops is to set "infinity" to some small integer. Using this finite substitute for infinity ensures that the Bellman-Ford algorithm will arrive at a stable distance vector, but that vector might not indicate the correct routes. What is the minimum value of infinity needed to guarantee that running Bellman-Ford on the scenario in part (b) will result in every router knowing the correct path (not necessarily the correct cost) to R5? You need not justify your answer, but a brief explanation will make you eligible for partial credit.
Value of Infinity: $\qquad$
d. The Bellman-Ford algorithm's convergence on a correct distance vector in part (b) took more iterations than strictly necessary. In no more than 30 words, describe an addition to the Bellman-Ford algorithm (introducing no new tools, i.e., only using route advertisements) that lets the algorithm reach the correct answer in fewer iterations when the link from R3 to R5 fails. You must explain how your proposal works, but you need not walk through what happens when you apply it to the scenario in part (b). Complete sentences are not required.

## VII Who Speaks Next?

## 8. [10 points]:

Suppose we had the following topology on a shared Ethernet cable.


A and B are separated by distance $L$, as are B and C. Packets travel across the wire at the speed of light $c$. Suppose that $A$ to $C$ at time 0 . The hosts are following CSMA/CD: so they will not send a packet if they sense that the wire is busy.
a. Suppose that at time $t>0, \mathrm{C}$ sends a packet that collides with A's packet. What is the latest time $t$ that C can send the packet, and how long after A sends its packet will A hear about the collision? Express your answers in terms of $L$ and c.
b. What is the earliest time $t>0$ that C could have sent the packet such that the packet collides with A's? How long after A sends its packet will A hear the collision? Express your answers in terms of $L$ and $c$.
c. What is the minimum allowable size of the packet that $A$ sends to $C$. Express your answer in terms of $L$ and $c$.
d. CSMA/CD does not translate very well to wireless networks. In one sentence, name one reason that CSMA/CA is much more suitable to wireless networks that CSMA/CD. Then (in one sentence) explain why CSMA/CD does not face this problem on a shared Ethernet cable as above.

## VIII Punching Holes

## 9. [10 points]:

In this question, we'll explore some scenarios for hole punching in NATs.
As a reminder: we have a few different types of NATs. Let an address be an IP:Port pair.

- Full Cone: Internal addresses (i_ipaddr : i_port) are mapped to external addresses ( $\left.e_{-} i p a d d r: e_{-} p o r t\right)$. Messages from any outside host directed to (e_ipaddr, e_port) can traverse the mapping.
- Port Restricted: Full cone mapping, but messages from an outside host (h_ipaddr, h_port) can only traverse the mapping if (i_ipaddr, i_port) has previously sent a message to (h_ipaddr, h_port).
- Symmetric: A symmetric NAT is port-restricted. AdditionallyEach request from ( $\left.i_{\_} i p a d d r: ~ i \_p o r t\right)$ to a specific destination ( $h \_i p a d d r, h \_p o r t$ ) is mapped to a unique external address (e_ipaddr, e_port). If (i_ipaddr, i_port) tries sending to a different outside host, the NAT will assign a new external address.

Suppose that hosts A and B are behind different NATs. There is also an external server E that is not behind a NAT.


A and B both send packets to E in order to establish mappings. These are the packets they send (as well as what the server receives).


E then tells A what packet it received from B, and tells B what packet it received from A. You can assume that mappings don't expire.
a. Suppose the NAT is full-cone. A and B want to set up a direct connection to each other that is not through E. Describe: (1) what packet A should send to B and the translated packet B receives and (2) the packet B should send to A and the translated packet A receives in order for the packets to successfully reach the other side. Your packets should be in terms of source IP:port and destination IP:port below.

A sends the packet:
Src IP:port ___
Dst IP:port __
$B$ receives the translated packet:
Src IP:port _
Dst IP:port ___
$B$ sends the packet:
Src IP:port ___
Dst IP:port ___
A receives the translated packet:
Src IP:port $\qquad$
Dst IP:port $\qquad$ :
b. From part (a) above, let $P_{A}$ be the packet A sends to B and $P_{B}$ be the packet B sends to A. Suppose that the NAT is port-restricted instead of full-cone.
A and B try the following scheme: A and B alternate sending packets: A sends $P_{A}$ to B , then B sends $P_{B}$ to A , then A send $P_{A}$ to B : so on and so forth. This scheme continues for a total of 3 back and forths ( 6 packets). $P_{A}$ and $P_{B}$ do not change over time. You can assume that the next packet is sent after the previous packet was either received (or dropped by the NAT), and that packets are transmitted reliably.
Let a successful packet be a packet that reaches the other side without being dropped by the opposing NAT. Under this scheme, is it possible for a successful packet to be transmitted? If so, how many packets of the 6 are successful? If not (in at most three sentences), explain why the scheme fails.
c. Suppose now the NAT is symmetric, and A and B try the same scheme as in part (b). Under this scheme, is it possible for a successful packet to be transmitted? If so, how many packets of the 6 are successful? If not (in at most three sentences), explain why the scheme fails.

## IX Elasticity Buffer



## 10. [10 points]:

Host A sends packets of length $P$ bits to a switch over a $100 \mathrm{Mb} / \mathrm{s}$ Ethernet link. Both ends of the link use 100 MHz clocks $\pm 50 \mathrm{ppm}$. An elasticity buffer is used by the switch to transfer packets from Host A's clock domain to the switch's clock domain. When a new packet arrives, the switch always waits until the FIFO is half full ( $B / 2$ bits) until it starts reading from the FIFO.
a. Case 1: The switch's clock frequency $f_{S}$ is faster than host A's clock frequency $f_{A}$. Sketch the progress of bits departing from the switch's elasticity FIFO on the graph for Case 1. Show the case when the buffer just avoids underflowing.
b. Case 2: The switch's clock frequency $f_{S}$ is slower than host A's clock $f_{A}$. Sketch the progress of bits departing from the switch's elasticity FIFO on the graph for Case 2. Show the case when the buffer just avoids overflowing.
c. How large does the interpacket gap need to be (in bits) to prevent an overflow? Express your answer as a function of $B$.

## X TCP-in-TCP

## 11. [18 points]:

In this question, our host has a TCP connection, the outer connection, with a remote peer. The outer connection's reliable datastream is exactly the TCP segments sent and received by another TCP connection, which we call the inner connection.
You can assume assume all of the following:

- Both connections use stop-and-wait TCP, and both retransmit after exactly 10 ms .
- Every transmitted and received segment of the outer connection contains exactly one inner connection segment (including headers) as its payload.
- Each of the inner connection's segments' headers occupies exactly 20 bytes.
- Outer connection segments can be dropped when they are sent to the remote peer. Inner connection segments are never dropped.
- The outer connection's RTT is exactly 5 ms ; segments are never delayed (assuming they are not dropped). There is no processing delay at the remote peer.
- When the outer connection receives a segment from the remote peer, there is a processing delay of exactly 1 ms . After this, two events happen simultaneously:
- The outer connection passes the payload of the received segment to the inner connection.
- The outer connection transmits its next waiting segment to the remote peer, if there is such a segment.
- When the inner connection delivers a segment to the outer connection, there is a processing delay of 1 ms . After this, the outer connection encapsulates and sends the segment to the remote peer if there is no other segment in flight.
If there is already a segment in flight, the outer connection queues the segment from the inner connection, and sends it when it can. (See the timing rules for sending the next waiting segment, described above).
- We are only concerned with the ESTABLISHED state, so every segment has the ACK flag set, and no other flags are ever set.

We use the following notation to denote segments and their payloads:

- An outbound TCP segment is represented by payload [seqno,ackno]>>.
- An inbound TCP segment is represented by $\ll$ [seqno,ackno] payload.
- Inner segments encapsulated in outer segments are thus

| inner payload | d [iseq,iack] $\gg$ | [oseq,oack]>> | (sending) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\ll$ [oseq,oack] | $\ll$ iseq,iack] | inner payload | (receiving) |

a. Consider the following sequence of segments. Assume there is no loss.


What are the acknowledgment numbers $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}$, and $\mathbf{C}$ ?
A:
B:
C:

What is the delay between the inner connection's sending its segment and receiving the corresponding acknowledgment?
b. This time, let's think about what happens if there is loss in the network.

Draw the sequence of packets that results when the first segment sent to the network is lost, using the same notation as in the prior part. (Please draw neatly!)
Be sure to include sequence and acknowledgment numbers.
Also, assuming that the inner connection sends its first segment at time 0 , label every segment with the time at which it is transmitted or received.

c. Based on the behavior from the prior part, describe in two or three sentences ( 50 words $\max$ ) what goes wrong when running TCP-in-TCP over a lossy network.
d. Other protocols that we've discussed in CS144 would be a better choice for the outer connection. Please name one, and explain your answer ( 20 words max).

## XI Putting It All Together

## 12. [15 points]:

You plug a new laptop into a wired Ethernet jack for the first time. You have already told the network administrators your MAC address, and can join the network. After your laptop has successfully connected to the internet, you type the following URL into your web browser:

```
http://stanford.example.edu/inquiry/onlineinq.html
```

Assume only the following:

- Your Ethernet address is aa:bb:cc:dd:ee and you'll be assigned the IP address 171.64.7.10.
- Your web browser is using TCP, not something like QUIC.
- Your DHCP server is 171.64.7.99.
- Your DNS resolver is 171.64.7.88.
- Your gateway is 171.64.7.77, with Ethernet address 00:11:22:33:44:55.
- You have an empty ARP cache.
- Neither your laptop nor your DNS resolver have any cached DNS entries.
- DNS never needs to fail over to TCP.
- You do not request a persistent connection.
- The HTML response returns 200 OK with a web page.
- The HTML request and response each fit in a single segment.
- The web page does not require loading any additional resources.
(Question on next page...)

Arrange the following sequence of events that occur for your host to receive the web page. Do not make any additional assumptions. Note that you do not have to include all of the options, leave out sequences you believe are irrelevant or incorrect. Write your answer in the form (XYZ...) on the answer line below.
A. The resolver on 171.64.7.88 iterates from root server (for edu) to TLD server (for example) to Example's server (for stanford), finally getting the address and sending it back to you using UDP.
B. The DHCP server sends a DHCP ack to aa:bb:cc:dd:ee.
C. The browser checks if the domain is in its DNS cache.
D. You send a DNS A request for stanford.example.edu to 171.64.7.88, using UDP port 53.
E. You broadcast a DHCP request for 171.64.7.10.
F. The server sends a SYN-ACK to you.
G. You send an ARP request for 171.64.7.77.
H. You send a GET request as TCP data. The GET request is for /inquiry/onlineinq.html.
I. You send a TCP SYN packet to port 80 of the destination IP address returned by the resolver.
J. You and the server perform the TLS handshake.
K. The DHCP server sends a DHCP offer of 171.64.7.10 to aa:bb:cc:dd:ee.
L. The server sends back the web page and closes its sending end of the connection, sending a FIN packet.
M. The browser parses the URL to understand the protocol and resource requested.
N. Your laptop broadcasts a DHCP discover message from aa:bb:cc:dd:ee.
O. Your browser renders the web page.

Answer:

